TELEPHONE: 713.658.2323 FACSIMILE:713.658.1921 DIRECT LINE:713.752.8628

> Kelly D. Brown Shareholder



17 FLOOR
FIVE HOUSTON CENTER
1401 MCKINNEY STREET
HOUSTON, TEXAS 77010-4035

Email: kbrown@craincaton.com

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS
SINCE 1912

949244

August 13, 2018

Sparsh Khandeshi Trial Attorney U.S. Department of Justice Environmental Enforcement Section P.O. Box 7611 Washington, DC 20044

CERTIFIED MAIL/RRR &
Via email: sparsh.khandeshi@usdoj.gov

Re: United States' Claim against IZI for Past and Future Response Costs for the Sandoval Zinc Superfund Site in Sandoval, Illinois

Dear Mr. or Ms. Khandeshi:

By this letter, Interamerican Zinc, Inc. ("IZI") rejects the claim of the United States for past and future response costs for the Sandoval Zinc Superfund Site in Sandoval, Illinois (the "Site") as set forth in your July 19, 2018 letter to IZI.

In addition to the formal rejection, this letter responds to some of the statements in your letter of July 19, 2018, although this letter should not be interpreted as necessarily setting out all of IZI's arguments in this matter, and IZI reserves all rights.

IZI has no liability regarding the Site, to the United States or to any other person or entity, because IZI did not arrange for disposal of any materials at the Site, nor take any other action that would incur liability under CERCLA or other state or federal law. In support of IZI not being an arranger, the facts indicate that IZI had no relationship to the Site, except to sell valuable products to the Site operator for use in that entity's operation. Records establish that IZI's sales were legitimate and they confirm substantial amounts paid to IZI for those valuable products (See attached examples). IZI had no involvement with the operation at the Site, and certainly no role in any disposal decision.

The United States Supreme Court has established that sales do not provide the basis of arranger liability. Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Ry. Co. v. U.S., 556 U.S. 599, 610 (2009) ("BNSF"), In BNSF, an agricultural chemical business, Brown & Bryant Inc. ("B&B"), operated a chemical storage facility adjacent to land owned by two railroads. As part of this storage business, B&B contracted with Shell for bulk shipping of pesticides, including the pesticide D-D, which

spilled during delivery and contaminated the adjacent parcel of land owned by the railroads. The Court found that Shell, as the manufacturer of agricultural chemicals that sold those chemicals to B&B was not liable as an "arranger" of the disposal of the chemicals, under CERCLA, for soil and water contamination at facility site. The Court first established that an entity cannot be held liable as an arranger for merely selling a useful product. Second, the Court found that, even though Shell was aware that some accidental spills or other disposal occurred during the transfer of chemicals from the common carrier to the facility's storage tanks after the product had come under the facility's stewardship, that was not sufficient to make Shell an arranger under CERCLA. In other words, awareness of the possibility of some disposal by the facility operator is insufficient to prove that an entity arranged for the disposal.

Cases since BNSF further support IZI's position. For example, in Consolidation Coal Co. v. Ga. Power Co., 781 F.3d 129, 153-54 (4th Cir. 2015) the Court held that procedures for offloading transformers that referred to "scrapping," and even to "disposal," did not secondary motive for transformer sales to dispose of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and thus even these characterizations were not sufficient to create arranger liability under CERCLA. As in Consolidation Coal. IZI had no control over what the buyer did with the products that it purchased. As another example, in Team Enterprises, LLC v. Western Investment Real Estate Trust, 647 F.3d 901, 910-11 (9th Cir. 2011) the Ninth Circuit found that actions taken with the mere knowledge of future disposal were not enough to show intent to dispose of a hazardous substance are sufficient for arranger liability, actions taken with the mere knowledge of such future disposal are not."

In line with this reasoning, the 7th Circuit has stated that a carbonless copy paper manufacturer was not subject to arranger liability under CERCLA based on its corporate predecessor's sale of broke to recycling mills, where the predecessor invested significant resources in recapturing broke, broke was valuable input for recycling mills, and the predecessor had no role in the mill's disposal decisions. *NCR Corp. v. George A. Whiting Paper Co.*, 768 F.3d 682, 705-07 (7th Cir. 2014). Companies that place materials into a competitive market and receive value that recoups some of the costs of production at the seller's operation do not incur CERCLA liability. *Id.* at 705. Moreover, a seller's indifference to the fact that use of the product could cause releases into the environment is insufficient to prove arranger liability. *Id.* at 706.

In summary, *BNSF* and cases applying it have consistently determined that valuable products do not give rise to CERCLA liability; the United States has no basis to allege "arranger" or other liability as to IZI related to the Site.

Your statements regarding the role of state dissolution proceedings are also not accurate. Proceedings such as IZI's current Delaware matter effectively apply even to claims subject to exclusive federal jurisdiction, such as CERCLA claims. For example, in *Marsh v. Rosenbloom*, 499 F.3d 165 (2nd Cir. 2007), the 2nd Circuit considered CERCLA claims asserted by the State of New York against the shareholder-distributees of Panex, Industries, Inc. Panex was dissolved under Delaware law, and the State argued that its CERCLA claims should be allowed to proceed

based on several legal doctrines, including that federal common law recognized a trust fund doctrine. The 2nd Circuit ruled that neither CERCLA nor any notion of federal common law preempted Delaware law, and dismissed New York's claims against the shareholder-distributees and Panex. In essence, *Marsh* continued the ongoing trend announced in *United States v. Bestfoods*, 524 U.S. 51 (1998) to have state corporate law apply in CERCLA cases, rather than some notion of federal common law. Moreover, CERCLA's exclusive federal court jurisdiction provision did not prevent Panex's Delaware dissolution from eliminating any CERCLA claims against the dissolved entity or its shareholders-distributees.

Based on the language of CERCLA and cases interpreting it, including *BNSF* and *NCR*, the United States has no viable claim against IZI; it should allow IZI's dissolution to go forward and take no action to impede the dissolution process.

If you have any questions, please call me 713.752.8628.

Sincerely,

CRAIN CATON & JAMES, P.C.

Ву: _____

Kelly D. Brown

KDB/kkd Enclosures



1415 East Michigan Street Adrian, Michigan 49221 tel.(517) 263-8984 twx.510-450-2890

INV	OICE
NUMBER	1-106
DATE	1/19/83

SOLD TO

SANDOVAL ZINC COMPANY 3649 S. ALBANY AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60632

SHIP TO

SANDOVAL ZINC COMPANY SMELTER ROAD SANDOVAL, IL 62882

				1 -1		
ORDER NUMBER	CUSTOMER NUMBER	TERMS	F.O.B.	SHIP VIA		CONTROL NO.
821001	3	NET 30	SHIPPING POINT	NEW-BEO/NEW51359DF 12	2/10/82	2620
ORDERED	BACK ORDERED	QUANTITY SHIPPED		DESCRIPTION	UNIT	NET AMOUNT
1 C/Ł		151,500; (4,242; 147,258; x 61.7; 90,858;	CONTAINE	ED ZINC OXIDE	\$10.77/ CWT	\$9,785.41

"Soller represents that with respect to the production of the articles and/or the performance of the services covered by this invoice, it has fully compliant with Section 12 (A) of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as emended."



1415 East Michigan Street Adrian, Michigan 49221 tel. (517) 263-8984 twx. 510-450-2890

INV	OICE
NUMBER	1-116
DATE	1/31/83

Γ

SOLD

TO

SANDOVAL ZINC COMPANY 3649 S. ALBANY AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60632

SHIP TO SANDOVAL ZINC COMPANY SMELTER ROAD SANDOVAL, IL 62882

				_1	1		,
CUSTOMER ORDER NUMBER	CUSTOMER NUMBER	TERMS	F.O.B.	F	SHIP VIA		CONTROL NO.
821001		NET 30	SHIPPING POINT	NEB	12/15/82		2649
ORDERED	QUANTITY BACK ORDERED	QUANTITY SHIPPED		1	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	NET AMOUNT
1 C/L		151,100; (3,475; 147,625; X 59.29; 87,394;	ZINC C	ONTEN	C OXIDE MOISTURE TINC OXIDES LL - CHECK #1622		vт \$9,412.33

"Seller represents that with respect to the production of the articles and/or the performance of the services covered by this invoice, it has fully complied with Section 12 (A) of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended."



1415 East Michigan Street Adrian, Michigan 49221 tel. (517) 263-8984 twx. 510-450-2890

-	IN	VOICE
NUN	BER	5-123
DA	TE	5/19/83

SOLD

SANDOVAL ZINC COMPANY 3649 SOUTH ALBANY AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60632

SHIP

SANDOVAL ZINC COMPANY SMELTER ROAD SANDOVAL, IL 62882

_							
CUSTOMER ORDER NUMBER	CUSTOMER NUMBER	TERMS	F.O.B.	SHII	VIA		CONTROL NO.
830330		NET 30	SHIPPING POINT	NEW 164140	SHIPPED 4	17183	2743
QUANTITY ORDERED	QUANTITY .			DESCRIPTION	NC	UNIT PRICE	NET AMOUNT
1 C/L		149,7004 (3,743) 145,9574 x 60,19 87,7204	ZINC COI CONTAIN	ZINC OXIDE 5% MOISTURE NTENT ED ZINC OXIDE FULL - CHECK	#1808	\$10.64/ CWT	\$9,333.41

"Soller represents that with respect to the production of the erticles and/or the performance of the services covered by this invoice, it has fully complied with Section 12 (A) of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended."



1415 East Michigan Street Adrian, Michigan 49221 tel. (517) 263-8984 twx. 510-450-2890

IN	VOICE
NUMBER	7-106
DATE	7/11/83

SOLD

SANDOVAL ZINC COMPANY 3649 SOUTH ALBANY AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60632

SHIP

SANDOVAL ZINC COMPANY SMELTER ROAD SANDOVAL, IL 62882

L	_					
CUSTOMER ORDER NUMBER	CUSTOMER NUMBER	TERMS	F.O.B.	SHIP VIA		CONTROL NO. ,
830330		NET 30	SHIPPING POINT	NEW 161938 5/26/83	3	2745√
QUANTITY ORDERED	QUANTITY BACK ORDERED	QUANTITY SHIPPED		DESCRIPTION	UNIT PRICE	NET AMOUNT
1 C/L		150,500 (3,462 147,038 X 57.1 83,959	# CONTAIN	ZINC OXIDE 3% MOISTURE DINTENT WED ZINC OXIDES	\$10.67/ CWT	\$8,958.43

"Seller represents that with respect to the production of the articles and/or the performance of the services covered by this invoice, it has fully complied with Section 12 (A) of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended."



14<mark>15 East Michigan Stre</mark>et Adrian, Michigan 49221 tel. (517) 263-8984 twx. 510-450-2890

INVOICE NUMBER 4-220					
NUMBER	4-220				
DATE	4/24/84				

SOLD TO SANDOVAL ZINC COMPANY 3649 S. ALBANY AVENUE 6HICAGO, IL 60622

SHIP

SANDOVAL ZINC COMPANY SMELTER ROAD SANDOVAL, IL 62882

	. [8]
Ī	CUSTOMER ORDER NUMBER	CUSTOMER NUMBER	TERMS	F.O.B.	SHIP VIA		CONTROL NO.]
	840105		NET 30	SHIPPING POINT	NEW 2/16/84		3017	
	QUANTITY ORDERED	QUANTITY BACK ORDERED	QUANTITY SHIPPED		DESCRIPTION	UNIT PRICE	NET AMOUNT	
	1 CKL		161,500‡ (4,684)		INC OXIDE 2.9% MOISTURE			
	w)		156,816 X 59.2	ZINC	CONTENT	e	\$17.15h 70	
) -			92,835		D ZINC @\$14.17/CWT.		\$13,154.7	4

"Seller represents that with respect to the production of the articles and/or the performance of the services covered by this invoice, it has fully complied with Section 12 (A) of the Fair Lebor Standards Act of 1938, as amended."



1415 East Michlgan Street Adrian, Michigan 49221 tel. (517) 263-8984 twx. 510-450-2890

INVOICE				
NUMBER	2-328			
DATE	2/28/85			

Z

SOLD

TO

SANDOVAL ZINC COMPANY 3649 SOUTH ALBANY AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60622

SHIP TO SANDOVAL ZINC COMPANY SMELTER ROAD SANDOVAL, IL 62882

L			·				
GUSTOMER ORDER NUMBER	CUSTOMER NUMBER	TERMS	F.O.B.	SHIF	P VIA		CONTROL NO.
841018		NET 30	SHIPPING POINT	NWW 1/5/85	PREPAID	•	3320
QUANTITY ORDERED	BACK GROERED	QUANTITY SHIPPED		DESCRIPTIO	NC	UNIT PRICE	NET AMOUNT
1 C/L		162,760#	ZI	NC OXIDE			
		<u>C4.395#</u>	LESS 2	.7% MOISTURE			
	·	150 7CER					
		158,365#					
4		X59.4%	ZINC	CONTENT		_	
		94,069#	CONTA	INED ZINC		\$1503/0	WT.\$14,138.5
1						7-20-00-0	
			OF THIS	INVOICE.	IN PAYMENT		

"Seller represents that with respect to the production of the articles and/or the performance of the services covered by this invoice, it has fully complied with Section 12 (A) of the Fair Lobor Standards Act of 1938, as amended."